



Being cautious about hydropower dams in the Pantanal

Leonardo Felipe Bairos Moreira^{1*}

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2753-9933>

* Contato principal

Yúlie Shimano^{1,7}

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2931-4719>

Natália Paludo Smaniotto²

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5204-6495>

Gilberto Josimar Fernández Arellano¹

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4076-1854>

Fernando Henrique Barbosa da Silva¹

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8418-4369>

Luiza Moura Peluso¹

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2043-1708>

Michel Fabiano Catarino¹

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8791-319X>

Rogério Conceição Lima dos Santos¹

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5772-8188>

Kheytianny Hellen da Silva Lopes¹

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6479-8099>

Thiago B. F. Semedo^{3,4,5}

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4379-5993>

Ricardo Eduardo Vicente^{1,6}

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2640-2537>

¹ Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa do Pantanal/INPP Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso/UFMT, Cuiabá/MT, Brasil. <leonardobm@gmail.com, shimano.yulie@gmail.com, gilberto.arellano@inpp.gov.br, fernando.barbosa@inpp.gov.br, luiza.peluso@inpp.gov.br, michel.catarino@inpp.gov.br, rogerio.santos@inpp.gov.br, kheyti.lopes@gmail.com, ricardo.vicente@inpp.gov.br>.

² Núcleo de Estudos da Biodiversidade da Amazônia Matogrossense/NEBAM, Instituto de Ciências Naturais, Humanas e Sociais, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso/UFMT, Sinop/MT, Brasil. <npsmaniotto@gmail.com>.

³ BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Campus de Vairão, Vairão, Portugal. <thiagosemedo@gmail.com>.

⁴ CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Campus de Vairão, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Universidade do Porto, Vairão, Portugal. <thiagosemedo@gmail.com>.

⁵ Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal. <thiagosemedo@gmail.com>.

⁶ Universidade Federal do Amazonas/UFAM, Manaus/AM, Brasil. <ricardo.vicente@inpp.gov.br>.

⁷ Universidade Estadual de Goiás/UEG, Porangatu/GO, Brasil. <shimano.yulie@gmail.com>.

Recebido em 03/09/2024 – Aceito em 03/12/2024

Como citar:

Moreira LFB, Shimano Y, Smaniotto NP, Arellano GJF, Silva FHB, Peluso LM, Catarino MF, Santos RCL, Lopes KHS, Semedo TBF, Vicente RE. Being cautious about hydropower dams in the Pantanal. *Biodivers. Bras.* [Internet]. 2025; 15(1): 14-18. doi: 10.37002/biodiversidadebrasileira.v15i1.2679

Keywords: Floodplain; climate change; land use; ecosystem services.

ABSTRACT – Transition energy plans from many developing countries rely on hydropower expansion as climate-friendly energy sources. However, cumulative impacts from multiple dams still need to be integrated into environmental impact assessments. Establishing better connections among the ecosystem services and dams within each river basin can enable us to identify forgone ecological benefits or optimize inevitable trade-offs. Here, we highlighted the main challenges for the Pantanal ecoregion, a broad floodplain in the shadow of a fast-track dam-building program. Synergetic effects of climate extremes, dams, and agricultural intensification have led to the shrinking of aquatic environments and, consequently, reduced regulating and supporting services, such as carbon sink and habitat provision. At this point, balancing socioeconomic and ecological needs is mandatory to maintain the Pantanal floodplain's integrity. We urge the policy communities to take basin-wide strategic planning seriously to reduce environmental costs.

Sendo cauteloso com as barragens hidrelétricas no Pantanal

Palavras-chave: Planície de inundação; mudança climática; uso da terra; serviços ecossistêmicos.

RESUMO – Os planos de transição energética de muitos países em desenvolvimento dependem da expansão da energia hidrelétrica, como fontes de energia renováveis. Contudo os impactos cumulativos de múltiplas barragens ainda precisam ser integrados às avaliações de impacto ambiental. Estabelecer melhores conexões entre os serviços ecossistêmicos e barragens dentro de cada bacia hidrográfica nos permite identificar benefícios ecológicos perdidos ou otimizar compensações inevitáveis. Aqui, destacamos os principais desafios para a ecorregião do Pantanal, uma ampla planície de inundação à sombra de um programa acelerado de construção de barragens. Os efeitos sinérgicos dos extremos climáticos, barragens e intensificação agrícola tem levado ao encolhimento dos ambientes aquáticos e, consequentemente, à redução dos serviços de regulação e suporte, tais como sequestro de carbono e provisão de habitats. Neste sentido, equilibrar as necessidades socioeconômicas e ecológicas é obrigatório para manter a integridade da planície de inundação do Pantanal. Nós solicitamos que as comunidades políticas levem a sério o planejamento estratégico de toda a bacia para reduzir os custos ambientais.

Siendo cauteloso con las represas hidroeléctricas en el Pantanal

Palabras clave: Planicie aluvial; cambio climático; uso del suelo; servicios ecossistémicos.

RESUMEN – Los planes de transición energética de muchos países en desarrollo se basan en la expansión de la energía hidroeléctrica como fuente de energía amigable con el ambiente. Sin embargo, los impactos acumulativos de múltiples represas aún deben integrarse en las evaluaciones de impacto ambiental. Establecer mejores conexiones entre los servicios ecossistémicos y la construcción de represas dentro de cada cuenca fluvial permitimos identificar los beneficios ecológicos perdidos u optimizar las compensaciones inevitables. En este artículo, destacamos los principales desafíos para la ecorregión del Pantanal, una gran planicie de inundación a la sombra de un programa acelerado de construcción de represas. Los efectos sinérgicos de los extremos climáticos, las represas y la agricultura intensiva han llevado a la reducción de los ambientes acuáticos y, en consecuencia, a la reducción de los servicios de regulación y soporte, tales como el secuestro de carbono y la disponibilidad de hábitat. En este punto, es obligatorio equilibrar las necesidades socioeconómicas y ecológicas para mantener la integridad de la planicie de inundación del Pantanal. Instamos a los actores políticos a que tomen en serio la planificación estratégica de toda la cuenca para reducir los costos ambientales.

Introduction

The notion of dams as sources of climate-friendly energy is a paradox. Hydropower development is essential in the Brazilian transition energy plan [1], promoting a business context for investment and industrial expansion. However, dam impacts are not minimal, and much more detailed research is needed to address the cumulative effects of multiple dams and prevent their far-reaching and costly socio-environmental consequences. The individual dams lead to river fragmentation,

sediment flow disruption, and extensive modification in the landscape associated with habitat flooding and disruption of seasonal water level fluctuation [2]. Evidence showed that some tropical reservoirs emit as much greenhouse gases as fossil-fueled power plants [3][4] and that the poor dam placement has already resulted in forgone ecosystem service benefits [5]. Although food resources and other services are well-perceived by human populations, essential regulating and supporting services (e.g., water purification and *habitat* provision) associated with river floodplains are not often recognized by the general public and policy-

makers. Thus, establishing better connections among the ecosystem services and dams within each river basin can enable us to reduce or avoid the effects of future energy projects. We are already behind schedule for this analysis because what happens in a dam-regulated river does not stay in the valley-affecting all biodiversity in the floodplains downriver and the thousands of people depending on them.

Case report

The Upper Paraguay River Basin (UPRB) supports the Pantanal ecoregion, where ~30 thousand people rely on the direct fishery for sustenance [6]. Besides, the ecoregion has wetlands of significant value for humanity (around 35,000 km²) recognized as Ramsar sites. Most Pantanal faces seasonal flood pulse triggered by river overflow and rainfall runoff. Modest differences in the flood amplitude are sufficient to determine a mosaic of vegetation types [7]. There are 41 upstream dams in the UPRB, and there are plans for another 128 to provide hydroelectric energy [6]. The operation of existing dams has already altered natural Pantanal hydrology and poses a substantial risk to the fishery resources [8][9]. For example, the Manso hydropower plant resulted in a 20% reduction in water discharge during the beginning of the rainy season, delaying the flooding in the Pantanal [10]. However, the only study on the cumulative effects of dam construction in the Upper Paraguay River Basin focuses on maintaining the fishing stocks and sediment load changes [6]. In the meantime, hydropoaking may reach far more than 100 km inside the Pantanal. Maintaining the hydrological regime is essential to the Pantanal because several organisms exhibit reproduction and dispersion patterns adjusted to these variations in the vegetation and water level [11][12][13]. For example, aquatic-terrestrial zones serve as the primary *habitat* and dispersal corridor for iconic elements of nature-based tourism in the Pantanal, such as the jaguar, marsh deer, and yellow anaconda [14][15][16].

Discussion

The current challenge is to match multiple dams with uncertainties in the river basins, such as climate change and agricultural intensification. Aquatic environments in the Pantanal have significantly decreased during the past three decades, even within protected areas [17]. Weaker flood pulses and harsher

droughts are becoming routine. Meanwhile, studies indicate that some Pantanal landscape elements are more susceptible to climate change and land use intensification than others [18][19]. Intensification of human land use is a widespread phenomenon in central Brazil that may interact synergistically to affect environmental integrity [20][21].

Perhaps the most emblematic example in the Pantanal is the avulsion of the Taquari River (i.e., the river left the original bed because it was raised by silting and dried up) as a result of agricultural expansion at the top of the basin [22]. At this point, balancing socioeconomic and ecological needs is mandatory to maintain the Pantanal floodplain's integrity. The "Pantanal Statute" bill, which provides for conservation and sustainable exploitation, is under discussion at the Brazilian National Congress [23].

However, ideas conflicting with the ecoregion integrity have also gained strength. For example, the Paraguay-Paraná waterway will incur more ecological, social, and economic costs for the Pantanal ecosystem than economic benefits [24].

Changes in the water discharge (due to irrigation and dam flow control), sediment load from agricultural erosion, and water pollution by agrochemicals are impending threats to the rivers forming the Pantanal [25]. Therefore, the conservation of the Pantanal Rivers should be conditional on public policies that have science as a basis for their construction.

Optimizing UPRB dams regarding Pantanal integrity requires several steps. An obvious one is to improve the monitoring and management of energy distribution. For example, Brazil lost 13.9% of total power generated because of grid transmission issues and theft, while Pantanal states lost an average of 15.5% [26]. Given that hydropower dams are often far from large consumption centers, it is also essential to encourage micro and mini-generation inside urban centers to reduce technical distribution losses. Second, the dam capacity and the energy output in South America have been greatly affected by climate extremes [27][28].

There are several examples of drops in generation from Brazilian plants because of low streamflow. Alone, the water shortage projected by climatic forecasts signs a slowdown in hydropower expansion. So, Brazil needs to reconsider its transition energy strategy. Lastly, we urge the policy communities to take basin-wide strategic planning

seriously to reduce environmental costs. Clear opportunities exist for optimizing dam networks for multiple ecosystem services [5].

The hydropower network needs to be revised to promote minimal changes in the geomorphic structures of UPRB. Measuring the actual impacts of dams on the Pantanal wetlands is difficult because of the variety of wetlands per se and the lack of their integration into ecosystem services assessment and regulatory permitting. In this context, addressing functional landforms and *macrohabitat* inside them is paramount [19][29]. These constitute key steps towards, among others, wetland characterizations and classifications and Pantanal ecosystem service standards.

Acknowledgements

LFBM, NPS, GJFA, FHBS, LMP, MFC, RCLS, KHS, and REV are supported by a fellowship from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (#300953/2024-0, #350177/2024-4). TBFS is supported by a fellowship from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) (#202210212BD).

References

1. MME-Ministério de Minas e Energia, EPE-Empresa de Pesquisa Energética. Plano Nacional de Energia - PNE 2050 [Internet]. Brasília: MME/EPE; 2020. Available from: <https://www.epe.gov.br/pt/publicacoes-dados-abertos/publicacoes/Plano-Nacional-de-Energia-2050>
2. Wu H, Chen J, Xu J, Zeng G, Sang L, Liu Q et al. Effects of dam construction on biodiversity: A review. *J Clean Prod.* 2019; 221: 480-489. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.001
3. Faria FAM, Jaramillo P, Sawakuchi HO, Richey JE, Barros N. Estimating greenhouse gas emissions from future Amazonian hydroelectric reservoirs. *Environ Res Lett.* 2015; 10: 124019. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/124019
4. Almeida RM, Shi Q, Gomes-Selman JM, Wu X, Xue Y, Angarita H et al. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions of Amazon hydropower with strategic dam planning. *Nat Commun.* 2019; 10: 4281. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12179-5
5. Flecker AS, Shi Q, Almeida RM, Angarita H, Gomes-Selman JM, García-Villacorta R et al. Reducing adverse impacts of Amazon hydropower expansion. *Science.* 2022; 375(6582): 753-760. doi:10.1126/science.abj4017
6. ANA - Agência Nacional de Águas. Estudos de avaliação dos efeitos da implantação de empreendimentos hidrelétricos da região hidrográfica do Paraguai. [Internet]. Brasília: ANA; 2020. Available from: <https://www.gov.br/ana/pt-br>
7. Barbosa da Silva FH, Nunes da Cunha C, Overbeck GE. Seasonal dynamics of flooded riparian grassland communities in the Pantanal wetland. *Wetlands.* 2020; 40(5): 1257-1268. doi: 10.1007/s13157-020-01281-w
8. Ely P, Fantin-Cruz I, Tritico HM, Girard P, Kaplan D. Dam-induced hydrologic alterations in the rivers feeding the Pantanal. *Front Environ Sci.* 2020; 8: 1-17. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2020.579031
9. Peluso LM, Mateus L, Penha J, Bailly D, Cassemiro F, Suárez Y et al. Climate change negative effects on the Neotropical fishery resources may be exacerbated by hydroelectric dams. *Sci Total Environ.* 2022; 828: 154485. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154485
10. Zeilhofer P, de Moura RM. Hydrological changes in the northern Pantanal caused by the Manso dam: Impact analysis and suggestions for mitigation. *Ecol Eng.* 2009; 35(1): 105-117. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.09.011
11. Callil CT, Leite MCS, Mateus LAF, Jones JW. Influence of the flood pulse on reproduction and growth of *Anodontites trapesialis* (Lamarck, 1819) (Bivalvia: Mycetopodidae) in the Pantanal wetland, Brazil. *Hydrobiologia.* 2018; 810(1): 433-448. doi: 10.1007/s10750-017-3097-3
12. Araujo JM, Correa SB, Anderson J, Penha J. Fruit preferences by fishes in a Neotropical floodplain. *Biotropica.* 2020; 52(6): 1131-1141. doi: 10.1111/btp.12790
13. Moreira LFB, Smaniotto NP, Ferreira VL, Strüßmann C. The flood or the woods: natural history of the Red-tailed Vanzosaur in seasonal floodplains. *Herpetol Conserv Biol* [Internet]. 2022; 17: 85-94. Available from: https://www.herpconbio.org/Volume_17/Issue_1/Moreira_etal_2022.pdf
14. Tomas WM, Salis SM, Silva MP, Miranda Mourão G. Marsh deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) distribution as a function of floods in the Pantanal wetland, Brazil. *Stud Neotrop Fauna Environ.* 2001; 36(1): 9-13.
15. Smaniotto NP, Moreira LFB, Rivas JA, Strüßmann C. Home range size, movement, and *habitat* use of yellow anacondas. *Salamandra.* 2020; 56(2): 159-167.
16. Alvarenga GC, Chiaverini L, Cushman SA, Dröge E, Macdonald DW, Kantek DLZ et al. Multi-scale path-level analysis of jaguar *habitat* use in the Pantanal ecosystem. *Biol Conserv.* 2021; 253: 108900. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108900

17. Smaniotto NP, Moreira LFB, Semedo TBF, Carvalho F, Quintela FM, Nunes AV et al. When drought matters: changes within and outside Protected Areas from the Pantanal ecoregion. *Wetlands*. 2024; 44. doi: 10.1007/s13157-024-01800-z
18. Lázaro WL, Oliveira-Júnior ES, da Silva CJ, Castrillon SKI, Muniz CC. Climate change reflected in one of the largest wetlands in the world: An overview of the Northern Pantanal water regime. *Acta Limnol Bras*. 2020; 32: e104. doi: 10.1590/s2179-975x7619
19. Bergier I, Assine ML. Functional fluvial landforms of the Pantanal: Hydrologic trends and responses to climate changes. *J South Am Earth Sci*. 2022; 119: 103977. doi:10.1016/j.jsames.2022.103977
20. Diaz LCP, Pimenta FM, Santos AB, Costa MH, Ladle RJ. Patterns of land use, extensification, and intensification of Brazilian agriculture. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2016; 22: 2887-2903. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13314
21. Garrett RD, Koh I, Lambin EF, le Polain de Waroux Y, Kastens JH, Brown JC. Intensification in agriculture-forest frontiers: Land use responses to development and conservation policies in Brazil. *Glob Environ Chang*. 2018; 53: 233-243. doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.09.011
22. Galdino S, Vieira LM, Pellegrin LA. Impactos ambientais e socioeconomicos na Bacia do Rio Taquari-Pantanal. Corumbá: Embrapa Pantanal; 2006.
23. Projeto de Lei 5482 [Internet]. 2020. Available from: <https://legis.senado.leg.br/sdleg-getter/o?dm=8912232&ts=1718158094745&disposition=inline>
24. Wantzen KM, Assine ML, Bortolotto IM, Calheiros DF, Campos Z, Catella AC et al. The end of an entire biome? World's largest wetland, the Pantanal, is menaced by the Hidrovia project which is uncertain to sustainably support large-scale navigation. *Sci Total Environ*. 2024; 908: 167751. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167751
25. Stevaux JC, Macedo H de A, Assine ML, Silva A. Changing fluvial styles and backwater flooding along the Upper Paraguay River plains in the Brazilian Pantanal wetland. *Geomorphology*. 2020; 350: 106906. doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106906
26. ANEEL. Relatório de Perda de Energia [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Jun 6]. Available from: <https://portalrelatorios.aneel.gov.br/luznatarifa/perdasenergias>
27. Almeida RM, Fleischmann AS, Brêda JPF, Cardoso DS, Angarita H, Collischonn W et al. Climate change may impair electricity generation and economic viability of future Amazon hydropower. *Glob Environ Chang*. 2021; 71: 102383. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102383
28. de Jong P, Barreto TB, Tanajura CAS, Oliveira-Esquerre KP, Kiperstok A, Andrade Torres E. The impact of regional climate change on hydroelectric resources in South America. *Renew Energy*. 2021; 173: 76-91. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2021.03.077
29. Nunes da Cunha C, Bergier I, Tomas WM, Damasceno-Júnior GA, Santos SA, Assunção VA et al. Classificação dos *macrohabitat* do Pantanal brasileiro: Atualização para políticas públicas e manejo de áreas protegidas. *Biodiversidade Brasileira – BioBrasil*. 2023; 13: 1-26. doi: 10.37002/biobrasil.v13i1.2223

Biodiversidade Brasileira – BioBrasil.

Fluxo Contínuo e Edição Temática:

Gestão do Conhecimento e Sociobiodiversidade das Áreas Protegidas de Carajás
n.1, 2025

<http://www.icmbio.gov.br/revistaelectronica/index.php/BioBR>

Biodiversidade Brasileira é uma publicação eletrônica científica do Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio) que tem como objetivo fomentar a discussão e a disseminação de experiências em conservação e manejo, com foco em unidades de conservação e espécies ameaçadas.

ISSN: 2236-2886

